

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/890,261	LIVACHE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	BJ Forman	1634

**All Participants:**

(1) BJ Forman. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Monaco. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner suggested amendments to the claims to more clearly define the invention over the prior art as discussed in the Interview of 30 August 2004. Mr. Monaco agreed to the claim amendments.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)